



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/562,934

12/30/2005

Tadao Nakaya

NFA-0214

8592

74384

7590

09/30/2008

Cheng Law Group, PLLC  
1100 17th Street, N.W.  
Suite 503  
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

CROUSE, BRETT ALAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/30/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/562,934	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brett A. Crouse	<b>Art Unit</b> 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brett A. Crouse. (3) D. Lawrence Tarazano.

(2) Lee Cheng. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Lee Cheng was contacted to check the status of the application (The application was transferred from Rader, Fishman & Grauer, per a conversation with the firm). The examiner was unable to verify the status of the application as there was no clear point of contact for Lee Cheng). USPTO records indicate no response has been filed to the office action of 6 February 2008. A notice of abandonment is included with this mailing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
--	--